

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/599,371	06/22/2000		John D. Nelson	101992-200	8796	
27267	7590	07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
WIGGIN A		IA LLP IT DOCKETING	LEVY, NEIL S			
		VER, P.O. BOX 1832	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW HAVI	EN, CT (6508-1832	1615			

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assistant C		09/599,371	NELSON ET AL.					
Office Action Sum	mary E	xaminer	Art Unit					
		EIL LEVY	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a e of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply with maximum statutory period will a eriod for reply will, by statute, cau hree months after the mailing dat). In no event, however, may a rep nin the statutory minimum of thirty (pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH se the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 04 April	2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		tion is non-final.						
3) ☐ Since this application is in closed in accordance with		•	• •	merits is				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-11,33,34,43-4</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allow 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-11,33,34,43-4</u> 7)□ Claim(s) is/are obje 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-11,33,34,43-4</u>	is/are withdrawn ved. 46,48,50,52,54,56-58 is cted to.	from consideration. /are rejected.		nt.				
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objecte	d to by the Examiner.							
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request the		•	` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s		=: •	•	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
2. Certified copies of the3. Copies of the certified	lone of: ne priority documents have ne priority documents haved copies of the priority International Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in App documents have been re PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s)	·			•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Sur						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10-1445 U(F10/30/00)	6) Other:						

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 2 was cancelled in he 1/24/05 prior amendment, otherwise claims present are as applicant shows.

The claim indictors are incorrect, for claim 2; it should be identified as cancelled.

Claims 8, 9, 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The rejection of record is maintained; the complex of claim 1 is of pyrithione, not Zn, Ln, or Ag. Concentrate is at the penultimate line of 54. Complex is at line 2, and applied to pyrithione. Applicant's explanations result in removal of 112 first paragraph rejections.

Claims 45, 56 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Examiner does not find supported of the negative limitation in the specification, page 24, top specifically cites strong (otherwise undefined in a mended claim language, or specification) chelating agents of zinc copper. Silver as within the scope of the invention.

Claims 1, 11, 33, 34, 43, 45, 46, 48, 50, 52, 56-58 are rejected under 35 U.S>C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaufman et al 6017502.

Art Unit: 1615

Pyrithione complexes with zinc salts, the chloride (col. 4, line 38-55) are used, (col. 4, line 60). 3-52% pyrithione is used (col. 4, lines 35-37). Alkanolamines the zinc salt is at 0.1-10% (last paragraph) Dilutions are in examples. See example 1 a complex of zinc sulfate, monohydrate, with sodium pyrithione is shown, within the ratios. The future, intended use, is of no patentable weight. We do not find requirement for either "strong" chelating agents" or Tiazolinone.

Claims 1, 8, 11, 44, 48, 54, and 58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dixon et al EP 077630.

The rejection of record is maintained Dilution and concentration are obvious to the artisan to perform as required for the purpose at hand – storage, transportation, or use.

Claims 1, 8-11, 33, 34, 43, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 57, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kappock et al 5518774.

The rejection of record is maintained. Dilution, Concentration being obvious to the artisan. See claim 1 of Kappock, for example. No Thiazolinane or strong chelator is required.

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 33, 34, 43, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 57, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wiese et al 5227156.

The rejection of record is maintained.

Claims 1, 8-11, 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nagata et al JP 134227.

The rejection of record is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed on 11/08/04 and 3/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are to patentability of concentrates over dilutions; we see no distinction. One in the art needs only the required components, effective for the required function, in this case antimicrobial efficacy, to be able to ascertain the required dilution, or inversely, starting components. The prior art clearly shows the level of expertise of the artisan as able to attain appropriate concentration by Multiplying, dividing, or simple testing. The rejections are maintained after consideration of applicant's any aments, except where persuasive. The Korean document is considered as cumulative.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/599,371

Art Unit: 1615

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday 7 AM to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER